



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/552,595	06/20/2006	Eric Francis Morand	BDW004	2505

20786 7590 06/14/2007
KING & SPALDING LLP
1180 PEACHTREE STREET
ATLANTA, GA 30309-3521

EXAMINER

CHU, YONG LIANG

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1626

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

06/14/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/552,595	Applicant(s) MORAND ET AL.	
	Examiner Yong Chu	Art Unit 1626	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 October 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-35 and 37-46 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☒ Claim(s) 1-35 and 37-46 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-35 and 37-46 are currently pending in the instant application and are subject to the following lack of unity requirement.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

Claims 1-35 and 37-46 are drawn to more than one inventive concept (as defined in PCT Rule 13), and accordingly, a restriction is required according to the provision of PCT Rule 13.2

PCT Rule 13.2 states that the international application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a general inventive concept (requirement of unity of invention).


PCT Rule 13.2 states that unity of invention referred to in Rule 13.1 shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features.

Due to lack of core structure and the numerous and widely divergent variables in the compound of formula (I)-(III), for example 5-membered-ring core structure and 6-membered-ring core structure, X, X', Y, Y' Z, R1-R4, the general formula I contains various distinct special technical features, and therefore the inventions are lack of unity.

Art Unit: 1626

For this reason, further restriction is required. A precise listing of inventive groups cannot be made. The following groups are exemplary, and may be subject to further restriction:


Group I: Claims 1-2, 6-7, 14, 17, 40-41 are drawn to products of formula (I)



wherein **Z** is not a covalent single or double bond between **X'** and **Y'**,

or a composition comprising the said product. This group is subject to further restriction if elected.

Group II: Claims 1-13, 18-21, 24-25, 27-29, 31-33, and 40-41 are drawn to




products of formula (I)

wherein **Z** is a covalent single or double bond


between **X'** and **Y'**; **X** is -O-; **Y** is -O-; **X'** and **Y'** are -C(R₅)₂-, or a composition comprising the said product.

Group III: Claims 1-13, 18-21, 24-25, 27-29, 31-33, and 40-41 are drawn to



products of formula (I) wherein Z is a covalent single or double bond between X' and Y'; X is -O-, Y is -N(R₁₈)-, or X is -N(R₁₈)-, Y is -O-; X' and Y' are -C(R₅)₂- , or a composition comprising the said product.

Group IV: Claims 1-13, 18-21, 24-25, 27-29, 31-33, and 40-41 are drawn to



products of formula (I) wherein Z is a covalent single or double bond between X' and Y'; X is -N(R₁₈)-; Y is -N(R₁₈)-; X' and Y' are -C(R₅)₂- , or a composition comprising the said product.

Group V: Claims 34-39, and 42-46 are drawn to a method of using the said compound or composition for the treatment of various diseases or disorders. This group is subject for further restriction if elected.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted. The elected group is subject to further restriction.

Again, this list is not exhaustive as it would be impossible under the time constraints due to the sheer volume of subject matter instantly claimed. Therefore, applicant may choose to elect a single invention at the similar scope as the examples shown supra (a product or a method of use of said product) by identifying another specific embodiment, i.e. another group, not listed in the exemplary groups of the invention and examiner will endeavor to group the same. ***Applicant also needs to elect a single compound as the elected species for initial search purpose.***

The claims herein lack unity of invention under PCT rule 13.1 and 13.2 since, under 37 CFR 1.475(a) the compounds defined in the claims lack a significant structural element qualifying as the special technical feature evidenced by formula I' with numerous and widely divergent variables in the compound of formula (I').

Accordingly, unity of invention is considered to be lacking and restriction of the invention in accordance with the rules of unity of invention is considered to be proper. Additionally, the vastness of the claimed subject matter, and the complications in understanding the claimed subject matter imposes a serious burden on any examination of the claimed subject matter.

Telephone Inquiry


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed Yong Chu whose telephone number 571-272-5759. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 am - 3:30 pm EST.


If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph K. McKane can be reached on (571) 272-0699. The fax phone


Art Unit: 1626

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


Yong Chu, Ph.D.
Patent Examiner, AU 1626


REBECCA ANDERSON
PATENT EXAMINER

 Joseph K. McKane
Supervisory Patent Examiner
AU 1626